

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/832,933	04/11/2001	Lifeng Wu	M-10096 US	5253
7590 11/18/2004			EXAMINER	
SKJERVEN MORRILL LLP 800 POWELL ST			HOGAN, MARY C	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108-2006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2123	
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s	s) (1)		
Office Action Summary		09/832,933	WU ET AL.	9		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
_	·	Mary C Hogan	2123			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the medical patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, in the statutory mining ripole within the statutory mining will expire Statute, cause the application to	rer, may a reply be timely filed  num of thirty (30) days will be consider  IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1	of this communication. 33).		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 April 2001.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims		,			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-92 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-92 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to			•		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the countries of the oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)  Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date	) F 3/08) 5) □ N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	on (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/832,933

Art Unit: 2123

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claim 1 and all dependent claims, drawn to determining a component degradation parameter, obtained by simulating, at the supplied circuit stress time value, classified in class 703, subclass 22.
  - II. Claims 8 and 21 and all dependent claims of Claims 8 and 21, drawn to determining a component degradation parameter supplying an independent performance criterion for distinct sets of components, and <u>using this criteria</u> to determine the relative degradation parameter, classified in class 703, subclass 22.
  - III. Claims 40,46 and 50 and all dependent claims of 40,46 and 50, drawn to determining the component degradation parameter from a device degradation table, classified in class 703, subclass 22.
  - IV. Claim 51 and all dependent claims, drawn to revising the netlist, a distinct mechanism degradation parameter derived from the component degradation parameter, and determining the degraded operation of the circuit using the revised netlist, classified in class 703, subclass 14.
  - V. Claim 59 and all dependent claims, is directed to drawn to revising the netlist, a distinct mechanism degradation parameter derived from the component degradation parameter, determining the degraded operation of the circuit using the revised netlist, and incorporating the aging of the selected components by updating the models of the circuit simulator, classified in class 703, subclass 13.
  - VI. Claim 60 and all dependent claims, are directed to incorporating the aging of the selected components by updating the models of the circuit simulator, classified in class 703, subclass 14.
  - VII. Claim 66 and all dependent claims, are directed to providing model cards containing a device degradation parameter, classified in class 703, subclass 14.
  - VIII. Claim 75 and all dependent claims, are directed to <u>quantizing each of said relative</u> degradation levels to one of a plurality of discrete values and using this respective quantized relative degradation level to determine degraded operation of the circuit classified in class 703, subclass 23.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,933

Art Unit: 2123

- IX. Claim 78 and all dependent claims, are directed to determining the degradation parameter wherein determining is embedded in said circuit simulator and is model independent, classified in class 703, subclass 14.
- X. Claims 82 and all dependent claims, are directed to a circuit degredation simulator, a user defined circuit simulator and an interface for connecting the user defined circuit simulator to the circuit degradation simulator, classified in class 703, subclass 14.
- 2. Inventions I and II-X are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the actual degradation of a circuit would not depend on the method of determining the degradation of the circuit. The subcombination has separate utility such as shown in the following table:

Group	Separate Utility		
I	predicted value for degradation based on simulated component models		
II	simplification of determining parameter by use of predetermined data		
III	degradation table enables a quick look-up of the degradation parameter		
IV	enables the designer to make changes to the design		
V	allows the designer to make changes to the design and incorporate other design		
	parameters in the process		
VI	allows the designer to incorporate other design parameters in the process		
VII	allows a predetermined model and degradation parameter to be used		
VIII .	allows the building of device models and current sources		
IX	allows the determination of the parameter independent of the model, therefore, extracting		
	a different value then if determined dependent of the model		
X	portability among the circuit degradation simulation used		

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2123

4. A telephone call was made to Michael Cleveland on 11/10/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

5. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an election of the invention to be examined, even though the requirement may be traversed under 37 CFR 1.143.

## Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary C Hogan whose telephone number is 571-272-3712. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM-5PM Monday-Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Teska can be reached on 571-272-3716. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mary C Hogan Examiner Art Unit 2123

Maria Maria